arXiv:math/0412342v2 [math.QA] 3 Jan 2005

COMPARISON OF POISSON STRUCTURES AND POISSON-LIE DYNAMICAL r-MATRICES

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ, PAVEL ETINGOF, AND IAN MARSHALL

Abstract. We construct a Poisson isomorphism between the formal Poisson manifolds $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and G^*, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional quasitriangular Lie bialgebra. Here $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is equipped with its Lie-Poisson (or Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau) structure, and G^* with its Poisson-Lie structure. We also quantize the Poisson-Lie dynamical r-matrices of Balog-Fehér-Palla.

Introduction and main results

We construct Poisson isomorphisms between the formal Poisson manifolds $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and G^*, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional quasitriangular Lie bialgebra. Here $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is equipped with its Lie-Poisson (or Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau) structure, and G^* with its Poisson-Lie structure.

This result may be viewed as a generalization of the formal version of [GW] (later reproved in [Al], Theorem 1, and [Bo]), where Ginzburg and Weinstein construct a Poisson diffeomorphism between the real Poisson manifolds $\mathfrak{k}^*$ and K^*, where K is a compact Lie group and $\mathfrak{k}$ is its Lie algebra. It can also be viewed as a new result in the subject of linearization of Poisson structures; e.g., in contrast with our result, it has been shown in [Ch] that not all Poisson structures on Poisson-Lie groups are linearizable.

Our result relies on constructing a map $g(\lambda) : \mathfrak{g}^* \to G$ satisfying a differential equation. We give two constructions of $g(\lambda)$: the first one uses the theory of the classical Yang-Baxter equation and gauge transformations; the second one relies of the theory of quantization of Lie bialgebras.

We then apply this result to the quantization of Poisson-Lie dynamical r-matrices introduced in [FM1].

We now describe our results in more detail.

0.1. **Comparison of Poisson structures.** Let $(\mathfrak{g}, r)$ be a finite dimensional quasitriangular Lie bialgebra over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0. Recall that this means that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra, $r \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$, $t := r + r^{2,1}$ is invariant, and $\mathrm{CYB}(r) := [r^{1,2}, r^{1,3}] + [r^{1,2}, r^{2,3}] + [r^{1,3}, r^{2,3}] = 0$. The Lie cobracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by $\delta(x) := [x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, r]$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ defines a linear Poisson structure on $\mathfrak{g}^*$; we also denote by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the formal neighborhood of the origin in this vector space, which is then a formal Poisson manifold. On the other hand, we denote by G^* the formal Poisson-Lie group with Lie bialgebra $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Our main result is:

Theorem 0.1. *The formal Poisson manifolds $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and G^* are isomorphic.*

We now explicitly describe an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$. Denote by G the formal group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ the space of formal maps $g : \mathfrak{g}^* \to G$, such that $g(0) = 1$; this is the space of maps of the form $e^{x(\lambda)}$, where $x(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}$. [1]

[1] We denote by $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ the degree completion $\widehat{\oplus}_{k \geq 0} S^k(\mathfrak{g})$ of the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$, and set $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>i} = \widehat{\oplus}_{k>i} S^k(\mathfrak{g})$.

$\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ has a group structure, defined by $(g_1 * g_2)(\lambda) := g_2(\mathrm{Ad}^*(g_1(\lambda))(\lambda))g_1(\lambda)$. Its subspace of all maps $g(\lambda)$ such that

$$g_1^{-1}d_2(g_1)(\lambda) - g_2^{-1}d_1(g_2)(\lambda) + \langle \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\lambda, [g_1^{-1}d_3(g_1)(\lambda), g_2^{-1}d_3(g_2)(\lambda)]\rangle = 0 \tag{1}$$

is a subgroup $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$. Here $g_1^{-1}d_2(g_1)(\lambda) = \sum_i g^{-1}\partial_{\varepsilon^i} g(\lambda)\otimes e_i$ is viewed as a formal function $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$, (ε^i), (e_i) are dual bases of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}$, $g_i^{-1}d_j(g_i) = (g_1^{-1}d_2(g_1))^{i,j}$ and $\partial_\xi g(\lambda) = (d/d\varepsilon)_{|\varepsilon=0} g(\lambda+\varepsilon\xi)$. We will also denote by $g_{13}^{-1}d_2(g_{13})$ the same quantity, viewed as an element of $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}\otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$.

We have a group morphism $\theta : \mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G) \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\mathfrak{g})^{op}$ to the group (with opposite structure) of Poisson automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with differential at 0 equal to the identity, taking $g(\lambda)$ to the automorphism $\lambda \mapsto \mathrm{Ad}^*(g(\lambda))(\lambda)$.

Proposition 0.2. *There exists a formal map $g(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$, such that*

$$(g_1)^{-1}d_2(g_1) - (g_2)^{-1}d_1(g_2) + \mathrm{Ad}(g\otimes g)^{-1}(r_0) + \langle \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\lambda, [(g_1)^{-1}d_3(g_1), (g_2)^{-1}d_3(g_2)]\rangle = \rho_{\mathrm{AM}}. \tag{2}$$

(identity of formal maps $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g})$). [2] *Here $r_0 = (r - r^{2,1})/2$, and ρ_{AM} is the Alekseev-Meinrenken r-matrix (*[AM, BDF]*) given by*

$$\rho_{\mathrm{AM}}(\lambda) = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi(\mathrm{ad}\,\lambda^\vee))(t),$$

where $\lambda^\vee = (\lambda\otimes\mathrm{id})(t)$ and $\varphi(z) := -\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{cotanh}\,\frac{z}{2}$.

The group $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ acts simply and transitively on the space of solutions $g(\lambda)$ of (2), as follows: $(\alpha * g)(\lambda) = g(\mathrm{Ad}^*(\alpha(\lambda))(\lambda))\alpha(\lambda)$.

Proposition 0.3. *Let $g(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ be as in Proposition 0.2. There exists a unique isomorphism $g^*(\lambda) : \mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$, defined by the identity*

$$g(\lambda)e^{\lambda^\vee}g(\lambda)^{-1} = L(g^*(\lambda))R(g^*(\lambda))^{-1}.$$

Here $L, R : G^* \to G$ are the formal group morphisms corresponding to the Lie algebra morphisms $L, R : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}$ given by $L(\lambda) := (\lambda\otimes\mathrm{id})(r)$, $R(\lambda) := -(\lambda\otimes\mathrm{id})(r^{2,1})$.

In other words, we have (non-Poisson) formal manifold isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g}^* \xrightarrow{a} G \xleftarrow{b} G^*$, $a(\lambda) = g(\lambda)e^{\lambda^\vee}g(\lambda)^{-1}$, $b(g^*) = L(g^*)R(g^*)^{-1}$, and $g^*(\lambda) = b^{-1}\circ a(\lambda)$.

The isomorphism $(\alpha * g)^*(\lambda) : \mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$ corresponding to $(\alpha * g)(\lambda)$ is such that $(\alpha * g)^*(\lambda) = g^*(\theta(\alpha)(\lambda))$.

It follows that the set of all isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$ constructed in Propositions 0.2, 0.3 is a principal homogeneous space under the image of $\theta : \mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G) \to \mathrm{Aut}_1(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, any derivation $\mathfrak{g} \to S^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is inner, so θ is surjective. So in that case, our construction yields all the Poisson isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$ taking 0 to 1 and with differential at 0 equal to the identity.

0.2. **Quantization of Poisson-Lie dynamical r-matrices.** In [BFP, FM1], there was introduced the Poisson-Lie dynamical r-matrix

$$\rho_{\mathrm{FM}}(g^*) = \Big(\mathrm{id}\otimes\big(\nu\frac{\mathrm{id}+a(g^*)^{2\nu}}{\mathrm{id}-a(g^*)^{2\nu}} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{id}+a(g^*)}{\mathrm{id}-a(g^*)}\big)\Big)(t).$$

Here $a(g^*) : G^* \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by $a(g^*) = \mathrm{Ad}(L(g^*)R(g^*)^{-1})$ and ν is a fixed element in $\mathbf{k}$. Then ρ_{FM} is a formal map $G^* \to \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e., an element of $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathcal{O}_{G^*}$. It is a Poisson-Lie classical dynamical r-matrix for $(G^*, \mathfrak{g}, Z_\nu)$, where $Z_\nu = (\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4})[t^{1,2}, t^{2,3}]$ (see also [EEM]).

[2] We view $\wedge^n(\mathfrak{g})$ as a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$.

A quantization of this dynamical r-matrix is the data of a quantization $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie bialgebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and a pair $(\bar{J}, \bar{\Phi})$, where:

• $\bar{\Phi}$ is an associator for $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e., $\bar{\Phi} \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$ satisfies [3] [4] the pentagon equation $\bar{\Phi}^{2,3,4}\bar{\Phi}^{1,23,4}\bar{\Phi}^{1,2,3} = \bar{\Phi}^{1,2,34}\bar{\Phi}^{12,3,4}$, the invariance condition $[\bar{\Phi}, (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta(a)] = 0$ for any $a \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, and the expansion $\bar{\Phi} = 1 + \hbar^2\phi_2$, where $\phi_2 \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$ satisfies $\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_3} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)\sigma((\phi_2)_{|\hbar=0}) = Z_\nu$;

• $\bar{J} \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}\widehat{\otimes} U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})'$ satisfies the dynamical twist equation $\bar{J}^{2,3,4}\bar{J}^{1,23,4}\bar{\Phi}^{1,2,3} = \bar{J}^{1,2,34}\bar{J}^{12,3,4}$, the invariance condition $[\bar{J}, (\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta(a)] = 0$ for any $a \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, and the expansion $\bar{J} = 1+\hbar\bar{j}_1$, where $\bar{j}_1 \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}\widehat{\otimes} U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})'$ satisfies $(\bar{j}_1 - \bar{j}_1^{2,1,3})_{|\hbar=0} = \rho_{\mathrm{FM}}$.

Theorem 0.4. *Such a quantization exists (see Theorem 2.1).*

1. Construction of isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$

Theorem 0.1 follows from Propositions 0.2 and 0.3. In this Section, we give two proofs of these propositions: (a) a Poisson geometric proof (Section 1.1), and (b) a proof based on the theory of quantization of Lie bialgebras (Section 1.2).

1.1. Geometric construction.

1.1.1. *Construction of $g(\lambda)$ (proof of Proposition 0.2).* One checks that $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ is a prounipotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq 1} | \, \mathrm{Alt}\circ d(\alpha) = 0\}$. This Lie algebra is isomorphic to $(\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>1}, \{-,-\})$ under $d : f \mapsto d(f)$. [5]

Let us denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the set of all $g \in \mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ satisfying (2). This is a subvariety of the proalgebraic variety $\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$. One checks that $(\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>1}, \{-,-\})$ acts by vector fields on $\mathcal{G}$, by

$$g^{-1}\delta_f(g) = \langle \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\lambda, [d_3(f_2), g_{12}^{-1}d_3(g_{12})]\rangle - d_1(f_2) \in \mathfrak{g}\otimes\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}, \tag{3}$$

and that the right infinitesimal action of $\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ on itself is given by the same formula. It follows that the right action of $\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ on itself restricts to an action of $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ on $\mathcal{G}$.

We now prove that if $\mathcal{G}$ is nonempty, then $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ acts simply and transitively on $\mathcal{G}$.

Let us show that the action is simple. If $g, g' \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ are such that $g * \alpha = g'$, then let $a := \log(\alpha)$. Assume that $a \neq 0$ and let n be the smallest integer such that the component a_n of a in $\mathfrak{g}\otimes S^n(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\neq 0$. Then $\log(g') - \log(g) = a_n$ modulo $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>n}$, which implies that $g \neq g'$.

Let us now prove that the action is transitive. Let $g, g' \in \mathcal{G}$. Set $A := \log(g)$, $A' := \log(g')$. Then $A, A' \in \mathfrak{g}\otimes\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}$. Assume that $A \neq A'$ and let n be the smallest integer such that the component of $A' - A$ in $\mathfrak{g}\otimes S^n(\mathfrak{g})$ is nonzero; we denote by $(A'-A)_n$ this component. Comparing equations (2) for g and g', we get $\mathrm{Alt}\circ d((A'-A)_n) = 0$. It follows that there exists $a \in S^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})$, such that $(A'-A)_n = \mathrm{Alt}\circ d(a)$, i.e., $(A'-A)_n \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$. Let $\exp_*$ be the exponential map of $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ and $\alpha := \exp_*((A'-A)_n) \in \mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$. Then $\log(\alpha * g) = A(\mathrm{Ad}^*(\alpha(\lambda))(\lambda)) + (A'-A)_n$ modulo $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq n+1}$; therefore the difference of logarithms [6] of $\alpha * g$ and g' coincide modulo $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq n+1}$. Working by successive approximations, we construct $\beta \in \mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ such that $\beta * g = g'$. This proves that the action is transitive.

[3] We denote by $\widehat{\otimes}$ the topological tensor product, defined as follows: if V, W are topological vector spaces of the form $V = V_0[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, $W = W_0[[y_1, \dots, y_m]]$, then $V\widehat{\otimes}W := V_0 \otimes W_0[[x_1, \dots, y_m]]$.

[4] We set $\bar{\Phi}^{12,3,4} = (\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\bar{\Phi})$, etc.

[5] For $\rho = \alpha\otimes f \in \wedge^n(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$, we set $d(\rho) := \sum_i \alpha\otimes e_i \otimes (d/d\varepsilon)_{|\varepsilon=0} f(\lambda + \varepsilon\varepsilon^i)$ and if $\xi \in \wedge^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathrm{Alt}(\xi\otimes f) := (\xi + \xi^{2,\cdots,n,1} + \cdots + \xi^{n,1,\cdots,n-1})\otimes f$.

[6] Here the logarithm is the inverse of the ordinary exponential map $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$.

Let us now prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is nonempty. Recall that $r_0 = (r - r^{2,1})/2$. If $g \in \mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$, set $(r_0)^g :=$ l.h.s. of (2).

Lemma 1.1. *Assume that* $\log(g) = -r/2$ *modulo* $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>1}$. *Set* $\rho := (r_0)^g$ *and assume that* $\rho = \rho_{inv} + \alpha$, *where* $\rho_{inv}, \alpha \in \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$, ρ_{inv} *is* $\mathfrak{g}$*-invariant and* $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq n}$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{CYB}(\rho) - \mathrm{Alt}(d\rho) = Z^{1,2,3} - \frac{1}{2}[r^{1,234}, \alpha^{2,3,4}]$$

modulo $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq n+1}$.

Proof of Lemma. This statement can be proved directly. It can also be viewed as the classical limit of the following statement. Let $U = U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, let $J \in (U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})^\times$, and let $\Phi := (J^{1,2}J^{12,3})^{-1}J^{2,3}J^{1,23}$. If $K \in (U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})^\times$ and we set $\bar{\Phi} := (K^{1,23})^{-1}(K^{2,3})^{-1}J^{1,2}K^{12,3}$, then $\bar{\Phi}$ satisfies

$$\bar{\Phi}^{2,3,4}\bar{\Phi}^{1,23,4}\Phi^{1,2,3} = (\bar{\Phi}^{2,3,4}, (K^{1,234})^{-1})\bar{\Phi}^{1,2,34}\bar{\Phi}^{12,3,4}(K^{123,4}, \Phi^{1,2,3}).$$

Here $(a, b) = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$. The statement of the lemma is recovered when $J, K \in (U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})^\times$ have the form $1 - \hbar r/2 + o(\hbar)$, and K is admissible with classical limit $g(\lambda)$: the contribution of $(\bar{\Phi}^{2,3,4}, (K^{1,234})^{-1})$ is $-\frac{1}{2}[r^{1,234}, \alpha^{2,3,4}]$, while the commutator $(K^{123,4}, \Phi^{1,2,3})$ does not contribute (as the classical limit of Φ is proportional to Z and hence invariant). □

Let us now prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is nonempty. We will construct a sequence $g_n \in \mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$, such that $\rho_n := (r_0)^{g_n}$ satisfies $\rho_n = \rho_{\mathrm{AM}}$ modulo $\wedge^3(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq n+1}$.

If $n = 0$, we set $g_0 := \exp(-r/2)$, then $\rho_0 = 0 = \rho_{\mathrm{AM}}$ modulo $\wedge^3(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq 1}$. Assume that we determined g_n and let us construct g_{n+1}. Set $\alpha := \rho_n - \rho_{\mathrm{AM}}$, and let α_{n+1} be the component of α in $\wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then Lemma 1.1 implies that

$$\mathrm{CYB}(\rho_{\mathrm{AM}} + \alpha_{n+1}) - \mathrm{Alt}(d(\rho_{\mathrm{AM}} + \alpha_{n+1})) = Z^{1,2,3} - \frac{1}{2}[r^{1,234}, \alpha_{n+1}^{2,3,4}] \mod \wedge^3(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Since ρ_{AM} satisfies the modified classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, the component in $\wedge^3(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes S^n(\mathfrak{g})$ of this identity yields $\mathrm{Alt}(d\alpha_{n+1}) = 0$, so that we have $\alpha_{n+1} = \mathrm{Alt}(d\beta)$ for some $\beta \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes S^{n+2}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then we set $g_{n+1} := \exp_*(-\beta) * g_n$, $\rho_{n+1} := (r_0)^{g_{n+1}}$. Then $\rho_{n+1} - \rho_{\mathrm{AM}} = \rho_n - \rho_{\mathrm{AM}} - \mathrm{Alt}(d\beta) = \alpha_{n+1} - \mathrm{Alt}(d\beta) = 0$ modulo $\wedge^3(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq n+1}$. By successive approximations, we then construct g such that $(r_0)^g = \rho_{\mathrm{AM}}$. So $\mathcal{G}$ is nonempty.

1.1.2. *Poisson isomorphism* $\mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$ *(proof of Proposition 0.3).* In this section, we show that the fact that $g(\lambda)$ satisfies (2) implies that $\lambda \mapsto g^*(\lambda)$ is a Poisson isomorphism. We will freely use the formalism of differential geometry, even though we work in the formal setup; the computations below make sense (and prove the desired result) when working over an Artinian $\mathbf{k}$-ring.

According to [STS], the image of the Poisson bracket $\{-,-\}_{G^*}$ on G^* under the formal isomorphism $b : G^* \to G$ is the Poisson bracket on G

$$\{F, H\}_G(g) = \langle (d_{\mathbf{R}} - d_{\mathbf{L}})F(g) \otimes d_{\mathbf{L}}H(g), r\rangle + \langle (d_{\mathbf{R}} - d_{\mathbf{L}})F(g) \otimes d_{\mathbf{R}}H(g), r^{2,1}\rangle,$$

where $g \in G$, F, H are functions on G, $d_{\mathbf{L}}F(g), d_{\mathbf{R}}F(g) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ are the left and right differentials defined by $\langle d_{\mathbf{L}}F(g), a\rangle = (d/d\varepsilon)_{|\varepsilon=0}F(e^{\varepsilon a}g)$, $\langle d_{\mathbf{R}}F(g), a\rangle = (d/d\varepsilon)_{|\varepsilon=0}F(ge^{\varepsilon a})$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, define $F_\xi \in \mathcal{O}_G$ by $F_\xi(g) = \langle \xi, \log(g)\rangle$.

Lemma 1.2. $(d_{\mathbf{L}}F_\xi)(g) = f(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{ad}^*(\log g))(\xi)$ *and* $(d_{\mathbf{R}}F_\xi)(g) = f(-\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{ad}^*(\log g))(\xi)$, *where* $f(z) = ze^z/(\sinh z)$, *and* ad^* *denotes the coadjoint action of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *on* $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Proof. Set $x := \log(g)$, let $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, and set $\tilde{a} := (d/d\varepsilon)_{|\varepsilon=0}\log(e^{\varepsilon a}e^x)$. The coefficient in ε of $e^{\varepsilon a}e^x = e^{x+\varepsilon\tilde{a}+O(\varepsilon^2)}$ yields $ae^x = \sum_{n\geq 1}(n!)^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^k\tilde{a}x^{n-1-k}$. Applying $\mathrm{ad}(x)$ to this relation, we get $\tilde{a} = f(-\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{ad}\,x)(a)$. Now $\langle d_{\mathbf{L}}F_\xi(g), a\rangle = (d/d\varepsilon)_{|\varepsilon=0}F_\xi(e^{\varepsilon a}g) = \langle \xi, \tilde{a}\rangle =$

$\langle \xi, f(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad} x)(a)\rangle = \langle f(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}^* x)(\xi), a\rangle$, which yields the first formula. On the other hand, we have for any function F on G, $d_{\mathbf{R}}F(g) = \operatorname{Ad}^*(g^{-1})(d_{\mathbf{L}}F(g))$, where Ad^* is the coadjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, hence $d_{\mathbf{R}}F_\xi(g) = \operatorname{Ad}^*(e^{-x})f(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}^* x)(\xi) = f(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}^* x)(\xi)$. □

Then we get

$$\{F_\xi, F_\eta\}_G(g) = \langle \operatorname{ad}^*(x)(\xi)\otimes \operatorname{ad}^*(x)(\eta), (\operatorname{id}\otimes\varphi(\operatorname{ad}(x))(t) + r_0\rangle - \langle \operatorname{ad}^*(x)(\xi)\otimes\eta, t\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $x = \log(g)$ and φ and r_0 are as in Proposition 0.2.

On the other hand, let $\{-,-\}'_G$ be the image of the Poisson bracket $\{-,-\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ by $a : \mathfrak{g}^* \to G$. Set $f_\xi(\lambda) := F_\xi \circ a(\lambda)$, then $f_\xi(\lambda) = \langle \xi\otimes\lambda, (\operatorname{Ad}(g(\lambda))\otimes\operatorname{id})(t)\rangle$. If $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, define $df(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{g}$ by $\langle \alpha, df(\lambda)\rangle = (d/d\varepsilon)_{|\varepsilon=0} f(\lambda+\varepsilon\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. We have $\{f,g\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, [df(\lambda), dg(\lambda)]\rangle$. Then $df_\xi(\lambda) = \langle \xi\otimes \operatorname{id}, A(\lambda)\rangle$, where

$$A(\lambda) = (\operatorname{Ad}(g(\lambda))\otimes\operatorname{id})(t) + [(d_2 g_1)g_1^{-1}, \operatorname{Ad}(g(\lambda))(\lambda^\vee)\otimes 1].$$

So

$$\{f_\xi(\lambda), f_\eta(\lambda)\} = \langle \xi\otimes\eta\otimes\lambda, [A^{1,3}(\lambda), A^{2,3}(\lambda)]\rangle.$$

This decomposes as the sum of four terms (we set $\bar{x} = \operatorname{Ad}(g(\lambda))(\lambda^\vee)$):

(a) $\langle \xi\otimes\eta\otimes\lambda, (\operatorname{Ad}(g(\lambda))^{\otimes 2}\otimes\operatorname{id})([t^{13}, t^{23}])\rangle = -\langle \xi\otimes\eta, (\operatorname{ad}(\bar{x})\otimes\operatorname{id})(t)\rangle$;

(b) $\langle \xi\otimes\eta\otimes\lambda, [[(d_3 g_1)g_1^{-1}, \operatorname{Ad}(g_1(\lambda))(\lambda^\vee)], \operatorname{Ad}(g_2(\lambda))(t^{23})]\rangle = \langle \operatorname{ad}^*(\bar{x})^{\otimes 2}(\xi\otimes\eta), -\operatorname{Ad}(g)^{\otimes 2}(g_1^{-1}d_2(g_1))\rangle$;

(c) $\langle \xi\otimes\eta\otimes\lambda, [\operatorname{Ad}(g_1(\lambda))(t^{13}), [(d_3 g_2)g_2^{-1}, \operatorname{Ad}(g_2(\lambda))(\lambda_2^\vee)]]\rangle = \langle \operatorname{ad}^*(\bar{x})^{\otimes 2}(\xi\otimes\eta), \operatorname{Ad}(g)^{\otimes 2}(g_2^{-1}d_1(g_2))\rangle$;

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \xi\otimes\eta\otimes\lambda, \big[[(d_3 g_1)g_1^{-1}, \operatorname{Ad}(g_1(\lambda))(\lambda_1^\vee)], [(d_3 g_2)g_2^{-1}, \operatorname{Ad}(g_2(\lambda))(\lambda_2^\vee)]\big]\\ &= \langle \operatorname{ad}^*(\bar{x})(\xi)\otimes\operatorname{ad}^*(\bar{x})(\eta)\otimes\lambda, [(d_3 g_1)g_1^{-1}, (d_3 g_2)g_2^{-1}]\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We have $\{F_\xi, F_\eta\}'_G(g) = \{f_\xi, f_\eta\}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(a^{-1}(g))$; then $\bar{x} = x$, so $\{F_\xi, F_\eta\}'_G = \{F_\xi, F_\eta\}_G$ iff

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \operatorname{ad}^*(x)(\xi)\otimes\operatorname{ad}^*(x)(\eta), \operatorname{Ad}(g)^{\otimes 2}(g_2^{-1}d_1(g_2) - g_1^{-1}d_2(g_1))\\ &+ \langle \operatorname{id}\otimes\operatorname{id}\otimes\lambda, [d_3(g_1)g_1^{-1}, d_3(g_2)g_2^{-1}]\rangle\rangle - \big(\operatorname{id}\otimes\varphi(\operatorname{ad}(x)))(t) - r_0\rangle = 0,\end{aligned}$$

for which a sufficient condition is that $g(\lambda)$ satisfies (2).

Remark 1.3. Formula (4) implies that the image of $\{-,-\}_G$ under the map $\log : G \to \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\{f,g\}(x) = \langle df(x)\otimes dg(x), \big(\operatorname{ad}(x)\otimes\big(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}(x)\coth(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}(x))\big)\big)(t) + \operatorname{ad}(x)^{\otimes 2}(r_0)\rangle;$$

this is a result of [FM2].

1.2. **Construction based on quantization of Lie bialgebras.** We now give a proof of Theorem 0.1 based on the theory of quantization of Lie bialgebras.

1.2.1. *Construction of $g(\lambda)$ (proof of Proposition 0.2).* Set $U := U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, $U' := \{x \in U | \forall n \geq 0, \delta_n(x) \in \hbar^n U^{\widehat{\otimes} n}\} = U(\hbar\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]) \subset U$ (here $\delta_n = (\operatorname{id} - \eta\circ\varepsilon)^{\otimes n}\circ\Delta^{(n)}$, η is the unit map $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]] \to U$). As a $\mathbf{k}[[\hbar]]$-algebra, U' is a flat deformation of $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbf{k}[[\mathfrak{g}^*]]$.

Let $\Phi \in U^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$ be an admissible associator. This means that

$$\Phi \in 1 + \frac{\hbar^2}{24}[t^{1,2}, t^{2,3}] + \hbar^2 U^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}, \quad \hbar\log(\Phi) \in (U')^{\widehat{\otimes} 3},$$

$(U, m, \Delta_0, \mathcal{R}_0 = 1, \Phi)$ is a quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebra. We also require $\varepsilon^{(i)}(\Phi) = 1$, $i = 1,2,3$ (here $\varepsilon^{(1)} = \varepsilon\otimes\operatorname{id}\otimes\operatorname{id}$, etc., ε is the counit, m and Δ_0 are the undeformed product and coproduct of U). According to [EH], any universal Lie associator gives rise to an admissible associator.

According to [EK], there exists a twist killing Φ, and according to [EH], this twist can then be made admissible by a suitable gauge transformation. The resulting twist J satisfies the following conditions: $J \in U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, $J = 1 - \hbar r/2 + o(\hbar)$, $\hbar \log(J) \in (U')^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, $(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(J) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(J) = 1$,

$$\Phi = (J^{2,3} J^{1,23})^{-1} J^{1,2} J^{12,3}. \tag{5}$$

Then $U^J := (U, m, \Delta^J, \mathcal{R})$ is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra quantizing $(\mathfrak{g}, r)$. Here $\Delta^J(x) = J\Delta_0(x)J^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{R} = J^{2,1} e^{\hbar t/2} J^{-1}$.

We have $\mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon) \cap U' \subset \hbar U$, therefore $\log(J) \in U \widehat{\otimes} U'$. Then its reduction mod $\hbar$, denoted $g(\lambda) = g^{1,2} = \log(J)_{|\hbar=0}$, belongs to $U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})[[\mathfrak{g}^*]]$ (formal series on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with coefficients in $U(\mathfrak{g})$). The reduction mod $\hbar$ of (5) is $g^{12,3} = g^{1,3} g^{2,3}$. Since we also have $(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(g) = 1$, we get $g = \exp(A)$, with $A \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}$.

Lemma 1.4. *$g(\lambda)$ satisfies (2).*

Proof. According to [EE], $\Phi \in U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} \widehat{\otimes} U'$ has the expansion $1 + \hbar \phi_1 + o(\hbar^2)$, where $\phi_1 \in U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} \widehat{\otimes} U'$ is such that $(\phi_1 - \phi_1^{2,1,3})_{|\hbar=0} = -\rho_{\mathrm{AM}}$.

If $x \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$, we denote by $\bar{x}$ a lift of x in $U \widehat{\otimes} U'$.

Let us expand $\log(J)$ as $\bar{A} + \hbar A_1 + o(\hbar)$, with $A_1 \in U \widehat{\otimes} U'$.

Then $J^{1,23} = \exp(\bar{A}^{1,3} + \hbar(A_1^{1,3} + d_2 A^{1,3}) + o(\hbar)) = J^{1,3}(1 + \hbar \overline{g_1^{-1} d_2(g_1)} + o(\hbar))$.

We have $[J^{1,3}, J^{2,3}] = \hbar \overline{\{g^{1,3}, g^{2,3}\}} + o(\hbar)$, so $(J^{12,3})^{-1}[J^{1,3}, J^{2,3}] = \hbar \overline{(g^{1,3} g^{2,3})^{-1} \{g^{1,3}, g^{2,3}\}} + o(\hbar) = \hbar \overline{\langle \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \lambda, [g_1^{-1} d_3(g_1), g_2^{-1} d_3(g_2)] \rangle} + o(\hbar)$. So we get

$$J^{12,3} = J^{2,3} J^{1,3} (1 + \hbar \psi_1 + o(\hbar)),$$

where $\psi_1 \in U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} \widehat{\otimes} U'$ is such that $(\psi_1 - \psi^{2,1,3})_{|\hbar=0} = \langle \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \lambda, [g_1^{-1} d_3(g_1), g_2^{-1} d_3(g_2)] \rangle$.

Then (5) gives

$$1 + \hbar \phi_1 + o(\hbar) = (1 - \hbar \overline{g_1^{-1} d_2(g_1)} + o(\hbar))(J^{1,3})^{-1} (J^{2,3})^{-1} (1 - \hbar r/2 + o(\hbar)) J^{2,3} J^{1,3} (1 + \hbar \psi_1 + o(\hbar)).$$

The reduction modulo $\hbar$ of $(J^{1,3})^{-1} (J^{2,3})^{-1} r J^{2,3} J^{1,3}$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(g \otimes g)^{-1}(r)$. Then substracting 1, dividing by $\hbar$, reducing modulo $\hbar$ and antisymmetrizing the two first tensor factors, we get the lemma. □

More generally, assume that $J' \in U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ satisfies $J' = 1 - \hbar r/2 + o(\hbar)$, $\hbar \log(J') \in (U')^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, $(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(J') = 1$ and

$$\Phi = (J'^{2,3} J'^{1,23})^{-1} J^{1,2} J'^{12,3}. \tag{6}$$

Then $J' \in U \widehat{\otimes} U'$, and its reduction g' modulo $\hbar$ satisfies: $g' \in \exp(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0})$, and equation (2) with g replaced by g'. Moreover, there exists $u \in U^\times$ such that $J' = u^2 J (u^{12})^{-1}$.

Remark 1.5. Equation (6) can be interpreted as saying that J' is a vertex-IRF transformation relating $J^{1,2}$ and Φ, and equation (2) for g' is the classical limit of this statement (see [EN]). Vertex-IRF transformations are a special kind of non-invariant dynamical gauge transformations, which map a constant, but non-invariant twist to an invariant, but non-constant (i.e., dynamical) one. □

Let $U_0' := \mathrm{Ker}\,(\varepsilon) \cap U'$. Then $\hbar^{-1} U_0' \subset U$ is a Lie subalgebra for the commutator. This Lie algebra acts on the set of solutions of (6) by $\delta_u(J) = u^2 J - J u^{12}$ ($\delta_u(J) \in U \widehat{\otimes} U'$ because it is equal to $[u^2, J] - J(u^{12} - u^2)$); this means that if ε is a formal parameter with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$, then $(\mathrm{id} + \varepsilon \delta_u)(J')$ is a solution of (6) if J' is.

The reduction modulo $\hbar$ of this action may be described as follows. The Lie algebra $(\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}, \{-,-\})$ acts on the set of solutions of (2) by $\delta_f(g) = \{1 \otimes f, g\} - g \cdot df$, i.e., action (3).

When restricted to the Lie subalgebra $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>1}$, this action is the infinitesimal of the right action of $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*, G)$ on the set of solutions of (2), given by $(g * \alpha)(\lambda) = g(\mathrm{Ad}^*(\alpha(\lambda))(\lambda))\alpha(\lambda)$.

1.2.2. *Isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$ (proof of Proposition 0.3).* It follows from [EH] that the subalgebras $(U^J)'$ and U' of U are equal. According to [Dr1, Gav], $(U^J)'$ is a flat deformation of $\mathcal{O}_{G^*} := U(\mathfrak{g}^*)^*$. On the other hand, U' is a flat deformation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} := \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$. So the equality $i_\hbar : (U^J)' \to U'$ induces an isomorphism of Poisson algebras $i : \mathcal{O}_{G^*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$, and therefore a Poisson isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$.

To express this isomorphism explicitly, we will construct maps $a : \mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ and $b : \mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_{G^*}$, such that $i = a \circ b^{-1}$.

We first construct quantized versions of a and b. We have $\hbar \log(J) \in (U')^{\otimes 2}$, therefore $J, J^{-1} \in U\widehat{\otimes}U'$ and $Je^{\hbar t}J^{-1} \in U\widehat{\otimes}U'$. We then define $a_\hbar : U^* \to U'$ by $a_\hbar(f) := (f \otimes \mathrm{id})(Je^{\hbar t}J^{-1})$. Now we also have $J^{2,1} \in U\widehat{\otimes}U'$, hence $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}^{2,1} \in U\widehat{\otimes}U' = U\widehat{\otimes}(U^J)'$. We then define the linear map $b_\hbar : U^* \to (U^J)'$ by $b_\hbar(f) := (f \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}^{2,1}\mathcal{R})$. Then $a_\hbar \circ b_\hbar^{-1} : U' \to (U^J)'$ coincides with $i_\hbar$. We define a, b as the reductions modulo $\hbar$ of $a_\hbar, b_\hbar$.

Let us now compute the classical limit of $a_\hbar$. Define maps $j_\hbar, \iota_\hbar, j'_\hbar : U^* \to U'$ by $j_\hbar(f) = (f \otimes \mathrm{id})(J)$, $j'_\hbar(f) = (f \otimes \mathrm{id})(J^{-1})$. Recall that the reductions modulo $\hbar$ of all three elements $J, J^{-1}, e^{\hbar t}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ are of the form $K = \exp(k)$, where $k \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}$.

Lemma 1.6. *If $K \in U(\mathfrak{g})\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ is of the form $\exp(k)$, where $k \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}$, then the morphism $\mathcal{O}_G = U(\mathfrak{g})^* \to \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ given by $f \mapsto (f \otimes \mathrm{id})(K)$ is dual to the morphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \to G$, $\lambda \mapsto e^{k(\lambda)}$.*

Proof. We compose this morphism with the transpose of the inverse of the symmetrization map ${}^t\mathrm{Sym}^{-1} : S(\mathfrak{g})^* \to U(\mathfrak{g})^*$. The morphism ${}^t\mathrm{Sym}^{-1}$ corresponds to the logarithm map $G \to \mathfrak{g}$. Now the composed morphism $S(\mathfrak{g})^* \to \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by $f \mapsto (f \otimes \mathrm{id})((\mathrm{Sym}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})(K))$. Now $(\mathrm{Sym}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})(K)$ is $\exp(k)$, where the exponential is now taken in $S(\mathfrak{g})\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$. The morphism $S(\mathfrak{g})^* \to \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$, $f \mapsto (f \otimes \mathrm{id})(\exp(k))$, is an algebra morphism, taking the function $X \mapsto \alpha(X)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ ($\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$) to the function $\lambda \mapsto \alpha(k(\lambda))$ on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, and therefore corresponds to the morphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}$, $\lambda \mapsto k(\lambda)$. Composing with the exponential, we get the announced morphism. □

It follows that the reductions modulo $\hbar$ of the morphisms $j_\hbar, \iota_\hbar, j'_\hbar$ are morphisms $\mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$, corresponding to morphisms $j, \iota, j' : \mathfrak{g}^* \to G$ such that $j(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$, $\iota(\lambda) = e^{\lambda^\vee}$, $j'(\lambda) = g(e^\lambda)^{-1}$.

Then $a_\hbar = m^{(2)} \circ (j_\hbar \otimes \iota_\hbar \otimes j'_\hbar) \circ \Delta^{(2)}$, so $a : \mathcal{O}_{G^*} \to \mathcal{O}_G$ corresponds to the composed map $G^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{diag}^{(2)}} (G^*)^3 \xrightarrow{(j,\iota,j')} G^3 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{product}^{(2)}} G$. Therefore we get $a(\lambda) = g(\lambda)e^{\lambda^\vee}g(\lambda)^{-1}$.

We now compute the classical limit of $b_\hbar$. Define maps $L_\hbar, R'_\hbar : (U^J)^* \to (U^J)'$ by $L_\hbar(f) := (f \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R}^{2,1})$, $R'_\hbar(f) := (f \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{R})$. Then $L_\hbar$ is an antimorphism of algebras and a morphism of coalgebras, while $R'_\hbar$ is a morphism of algebras and antimorphism of coalgebras. Their reductions L, R' modulo $\hbar$ are morphisms $\mathcal{O}_{G^*} \to \mathcal{O}_G$ (anti-Poisson coalgebra morphism in the case of L, Poisson anti-coalgebra in the case of R'). Using [EGH], appendix, one shows that these morphisms correspond to the morphisms of formal groups $L, R' : G^* \to G$ (antimorphism in the case of R'), corresponding to the morphisms $L, R' : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}$, given by $L(\lambda) := (\lambda \otimes \mathrm{id})(r)$ and $R'(\lambda) := (\lambda \otimes \mathrm{id})(r^{2,1})$. Here L is a Lie algebra, anti-Lie coalgebra morphism and R' is an anti-Lie algebra, Lie coalgebra morphism. Now $b_\hbar = m \circ (L_\hbar \otimes R_\hbar) \circ \Delta$, so $b : \mathcal{O}_{G^*} \to \mathcal{O}_G$ corresponds to the composed map $G^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{diag}} (G^*)^2 \xrightarrow{(L,R')} G^2 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{product}} G$, i.e., $g^* \mapsto L(g^*)R'(g^*) = L(g^*)R(g^*)^{-1}$.

Finally, the isomorphism $i : \mathfrak{g}^* \to G^*$ is equal to $b^{-1} \circ a$, so it takes $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ to $g^* \in G^*$ such that

$$L(g^*)R(g^*)^{-1} = g(\lambda)e^{\lambda^\vee}g(\lambda)^{-1}.$$

So it coincides with the isomorphism obtained in Proposition 0.3.

1.3. **On the group** $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*,G)$**.** In this section, we give a "quantum" proof of the following statement, which was used in Section 1.1 (and can also be proved in the setup of this section).

Proposition 1.7. $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*,G)$ *is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*,G)$.

Proof. Set $U_0'=\mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)\cap U'$. The map of $U'\to\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ of reduction by $\hbar$ restricts to $U_0'\to\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}$, so we get a map $U_0'\to\mathfrak{g}$.

Consider the set of all J', such that $\hbar\log(J')\in(U_0')^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$, the image of $\hbar\log(J')$ in $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$ is zero, and $J'^{2,3}J'^{1,23}=J'^{1,23}$.

This is the set of elements of the form $J'(u)=u^2(u^{12})^{-1}$, where $u\in\exp(U_0'/\hbar)$ is such that the image of $\log(u)$ under $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}\to\mathfrak{g}$ is zero. Indeed, one recovers u from J' by $u=(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varepsilon)(J')^{-1}$.

We denote by $A(\lambda)$ the image of $\hbar\log(J')$ by $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{>0}\widehat{\otimes}\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq 2}\to\mathfrak{g}\otimes\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})_{\geq 2}$, and set $g(\lambda):=\exp(A(\lambda))$. Then $g(\lambda)\in\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*,G)$.

As in Lemma 1.4, one proves that $g(\lambda)$ satisfies equation (1). Hence we get a map of sets $\{J'\text{ as above}\}\to\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*,G)$, which is surjective.

On the other hand, the set of all $J'(u)$ is equipped with a product, such that $J'(u)*J'(v)=J'(uv)$. This product expresses as follows: $J'(u)*J'(v)=\big(u^2J'(v)(u^2)^{-1}\big)J'(u)$; the classical limit of this expression is the product formula for $\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*,G)$. So $\mathrm{Map}_0^{ham}(\mathfrak{g}^*,G)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Map}_0(\mathfrak{g}^*,G)$. □

2. Quantization of ρ_{FM}

In this section, we prove Theorem 0.4.

Let Φ_{univ} be a universal Lie associator defined over $\mathbf{k}$ with parameter $\mu=1$. So $\Phi_{univ}=1+\frac{1}{24}[t_{12},t_{23}]+$ terms of degree >2, where t_{ij} is the universal version of $t^{i,j}$ (see [Dr3]). Set $\Phi_\nu:=\Phi_{univ}(2\hbar\nu t^{1,2},2\hbar\nu t^{2,3})$ and $\Phi:=\Phi_{1/2}$. Then

$$(U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]],m,\Delta_0,\Phi_\nu)$$

is a quasi-Hopf algebra; its classical limit is the quasi-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g},\delta=0,\nu^2[t^{1,2},t^{2,3}])$. Let J be an admissible twist killing Φ, and let us twist this quasi-Hopf algebra by J. We obtain the quasi-Hopf algebra

$$(U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]],m,\Delta_0^J,\Phi_\nu^J),$$

where $\Delta_0^J(x)=J\Delta_0(x)J^{-1}$ and $\Phi_\nu^J=J^{2,3}J^{1,23}\Phi_\nu(J^{-1})^{12,3}(J^{-1})^{1,2}$. Its classical limit is the quasi-Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g},\delta(x)=[x^1+x^2,r],Z_\nu)$.

Now $(U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]],m,\Delta_0^J)$ is a Hopf algebra quantizing $(\mathfrak{g},\delta)$, which we denote by $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, and Φ_ν^J is an associator for this quantized universal enveloping algebra, with classical limit Z_ν.

Φ_ν^J clearly satisfies the invariance and pentagon equations. We have $J=1+\hbar j_1$, where $j_1\in U\widehat{\otimes}U'$, so $J^{2,3}$, $J^{1,23}$, $(J^{-1})^{1,23}$ and $(J^{-1})^{1,2}$ are all of the form $1+\hbar k$, $k\in U^{\widehat{\otimes}2}\widehat{\otimes}U'$. Hence $\Phi_\nu^J=1+\hbar\psi_\nu$, where $\psi_\nu\in U^{\widehat{\otimes}2}\widehat{\otimes}U'$. Set $U_\hbar:=U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$, then $U_\hbar'=U'$, so $\psi_\nu\in U_\hbar^{\widehat{\otimes}2}\widehat{\otimes}U_\hbar'$. We now compute $(\psi_\nu-\psi_\nu^{2,1,3})_{|\hbar=0}$; this is an element of $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_{G^*}\simeq U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$.

We have $\Phi_\nu^J=\Phi^J+(\Phi_\nu-\Phi)^J=1+\hbar(\Phi_\nu-\Phi)^J$. Let us define ϕ,ϕ_ν by $\Phi=1+\hbar\phi$, $\Phi_\nu=1+\hbar\phi_\nu$, then $(\psi_\nu)_{|\hbar=0}=\big((\phi_\nu-\phi)^J\big)_{\hbar=0}$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi_\nu-\psi_\nu^{2,1,3})_{|\hbar=0}&=((\phi_\nu-\phi_\nu^{2,1,3})^J)_{|\hbar=0}-((\phi-\phi^{2,1,3})^J)_{|\hbar=0}\\&=\mathrm{Ad}(g(\lambda))^{\otimes 2}(\rho_{\mathrm{AM}}(\lambda)-\rho_{\mathrm{AM}}^\nu(\lambda))\end{aligned}$$

as a formal function $\mathfrak{g}^* \to \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g})$. Here $\rho^\nu_{\mathrm{AM}}(\lambda) = 2\nu\rho_{\mathrm{AM}}(2\nu\lambda)$. Since ρ_{AM} and ρ^ν_{AM} are G-equivariant, this is

$$(\rho_{\mathrm{AM}} - \rho^\nu_{\mathrm{AM}})(\mathrm{Ad}^*(g(\lambda))(\lambda)) = \Big(\mathrm{id}\otimes\big(\frac{1}{2}\frac{e^{\mathrm{ad}(\bar\lambda^\vee)}+\mathrm{id}}{e^{\mathrm{ad}(\bar\lambda^\vee)}-\mathrm{id}} - \nu\frac{e^{2\nu\,\mathrm{ad}(\bar\lambda^\vee)}+\mathrm{id}}{e^{2\nu\,\mathrm{ad}(\bar\lambda^\vee)}-\mathrm{id}}\big)\Big)(t) = \rho_{\mathrm{FM}}(g^*).$$

Here we set $\bar\lambda := \mathrm{Ad}^*(g(\lambda))(\lambda)$ and we use the relation $L(g^*)G(g^*)^{-1} = e^{\bar\lambda^\vee}$.

We have proved:

Theorem 2.1. *The quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]^J$, together with the pair $(\bar J, \bar\Phi)$ defined by $\bar J = \bar\Phi = \Phi^J_\nu$, is a quantization of the Poisson-Lie dynamical r-matrix $\rho_{\mathrm{FM}}(g^*)$ over $(G^*, \mathfrak{g}, Z_\nu)$.*

References

[Al] A. Alekseev, *On Poisson actions of compact Lie groups on symplectic manifolds,* J. Differential Geometry **45** (1997), 241-56.

[AM] A. Alekseev, E. Meinrenken, *The non-commutative Weil algebra,* Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 135-72.

[BDF] J. Balog, L. Dabrowski,and L. Fehér, *Classical r-matrix and exchange algebra in WZNW and Toda field theories,* Phys. Lett. B, **244** (1990), issue 2, 227-34.

[BFP] J. Balog, L. Fehér, L. Palla, *Chiral extensions of the WZNW phase space, Poisson-Lie symmetries and groupoids,* preprint hep-th/9910046, Nucl. Phys. B **568** (2000), 503-42.

[Bo] P. Boalch, *Stokes matrices, Poisson-Lie groups and Frobenius manifolds,* Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 3, 479-506.

[Ch] V. Chloup-Arnould, *Linearization of some Poisson-Lie tensor,* J. Geom. Phys. **24** (1997), no. 1, 46-52.

[Dr1] V. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups,* Proceedings of the ICM-86 (Berkeley), 798-820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[Dr2] V. Drinfeld, *Quasi-Hopf algebras,* Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990), no. 6, 1419-57.

[Dr3] V. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$*,* Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991), no. 4, 829-60.

[EE] B. Enriquez, P. Etingof, *Quantization of Alekseev-Meinrenken dynamical r-matrices,* preprint math.QA/0302067 (in memory of F.I. Karpelevich), AMS Transl. **210** (2003), no. 2, 81-98.

[EEM] B. Enriquez, P. Etingof, I. Marshall, *Quantization of some Poisson-Lie dynamical r-matrices and Poisson homogeneous spaces,* preprint math.QA/0403283 (in memory of J. Donin).

[EGH] B. Enriquez, F. Gavarini, G. Halbout, *Uniqueness of braidings of quasitriangular Lie bialgebras and lifts of classical r-matrices,* Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **46** (2003), 2461-86.

[EH] B. Enriquez, G. Halbout, *Poisson algebras associated to quasi-Hopf algebras,* Adv. Math. **186** (2004), no. 2, 363-95.

[EK] P. Etingof, D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of Lie bialgebras, I, II,* Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996), no. 1, 1-41; **4** (1998), no. 2, 213-31.

[EN] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, *Vertex-IRF transformations and quantization of dynamical r-matrices,* Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 3, 331-45.

[ES] P. Etingof, O. Schiffmann, *On the moduli space of classical dynamical r-matrices,* Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 1-2, 157-70.

[FM1] L. Fehér, I. Marshall, *On a Poisson-Lie analogue of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation for self-dual Lie algebras,* Lett. Math. Phys. **62** (2002), 51-62.

[FM2] L. Fehér, I. Marshall, *The non-abelian momentum map for Poisson-Lie symmetries on the chiral WZNW phase space,* Int. Math. Res. Not. **49** (2004), 2611-36.

[Gav] F. Gavarini, *The quantum duality principle,* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **52** (2002), no. 3, 809-34.

[GW] Viktor L. Ginzburg, A. Weinstein, *Lie-Poisson structure on some Poisson-Lie groups,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5:2 (1992), 445-53.

[STS] M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Dressing transformations and Poisson group actions,* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **21** (1985), no. 6, 1237-1260.

IRMA (CNRS), 7 rue René Descartes, F-67084 Strasbourg, France
E-mail address: enriquez@math.u-strasbg.fr

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
E-mail address: etingof@math.mit.edu

Département de Mathématiques, EPFL, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
E-mail address: ian.marshall@epfl.ch